1	Stephen R. Cochell Admitted Pro Hac Vice
2	srcochell@gmail.com 5850 San Felipe, Ste. 500
3 4	Houston Texas 77057 Telephone:(713) 436-8000 Facsimile: (213) 623-2000
5	Allan Grant (SBN#213658) Grant's Law Firm
6	17351 Greentree Drive Riverside, California 92503-6762
7	Telephone (888)937-7555 Facsimile (866)858-6637
8	Attorneys for Defendant
9	JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF
10	JASON ED WARD THOMAS CARDIT
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 5:23-CR-00021-JGB
13	Plaintiff,
14	VS.
15	JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,
16	Defendant.
17	
18	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY
19	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that counsel for Jason Cardiff invites the Court's
20	attention to Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decision in Federal Trade Commission
21	
22	v. VPL Medical, Inc. No. 20-55858 (April 28, 2021) addresses the issue of the
23 24	authority of the receivership under Section 23(b) of the FTC Act. A copy of the
25	decision is attached for the Court's consideration.
	decision is attached for the Court's consideration.
26 27	
28	
20	

Filed 10/11/24 Page 2 of 3 Page ID

Case 5:23-cr-00021-JGB

Document 118

No. 20-55858 UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Fed. Trade Comm'n v. VPL Med., Inc.

Decided Apr 28, 2021

No. 20-55858

04-28-2021

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff-Appellee, ROBB EVANS & ASSOCIATES LLC, Temporary Receiver, Receiver-Appellee, v. VPL MEDICAL, INC., Intervenor-Appellant, JASON CARDIFF, et al., Defendant-Appellant, and EUNJUNG CARDIFF, et al., Defendants, JACQUES POUJADE, Objector.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

D.C. No. 5:18-cv-02104-DMG-PLA ORDER Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Dolly M. Gee, District Judge, Presiding Argued and Submitted March 2, 2021 Submission Vacated March 4, 2021 Resubmitted April 28, 2021 Pasadena, California *2 Before: SILER,* HURWITZ, and COLLINS, Circuit Judges.

Submission of this case was vacated on March 4, 2021. This case is resubmitted for decision effective as of the date of this order.

As the FTC states in its brief, the district court's July 7, 2020 preliminary injunction order was entered "to preserve assets pending a final judgment that could include equitable monetary relief" in this action under § 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). In AMG Capital Management, LLC v. Federal Trade Commission, 593 U.S. 2021 WL 1566607 (Apr. 22, 2021), the Supreme Court unanimously held "that §13(b) as currently written does not grant the Commission authority to obtain equitable monetary relief." Id. at *8. Accordingly, the July 7, 2020 preliminary injunction is VACATED, and the case is REMANDED to the district court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in AMG Capital Management.

Each side shall bear its own costs.



^{*} The Honorable Eugene E. Siler, United States Circuit Judge for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, sitting by designation.-----